## FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT ON BILL NO. **H.4277**

(Doc. No. 11891ac05.doc)

TO: The Honorable Joe E. Brown, Chairman, House Medical, Military, Public and Municipal

Affairs Committee

FROM: Office of State Budget, Budget and Control Board

ANALYSTS: Beth Campbell and Allan Kincaid

DATE: January 25, 2006 SBD: 2006005

AUTHOR: Representative Harrison PRIMARY CODE CITE: 40-43-310

SUBJECT: Wholesale Licensure and Prescription Medication Integrity Act

# ESTIMATED FISCAL IMPACT ON GENERAL FUND EXPENDITURES:

\$0 (No additional expenditures or savings are expected)

#### ESTIMATED FISCAL IMPACT ON FEDERAL & OTHER FUND EXPENDITURES:

A Cost to Federal and/or Other Funds (See Below)

#### **BILL SUMMARY:**

This Bill provides for the licensure and regulation of wholesale distributors of prescription drugs, the criteria of licensure, the procedures of distribution and the criminal penalties for violations. This Bill also directs the State Board of Pharmacy to conduct a study to determine an implementation date for requiring electronic files on sales in the chain of distribution of drugs.

## **EXPLANATION OF IMPACT:**

## Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation (LLR)

The Department reports this Bill will have no impact on the General Fund of the State or on Federal and/or Other Funds.

### <u>State Law Enforcement Division – SLED</u>

According to the Department of Labor, Licensing and Regulation, there are approximately 1,230 wholesalers registered in the state. Based on fees of \$49 collected by SLED, there will be an additional cost of \$60,270 to conduct criminal record checks of applicants.

#### LOCAL GOVERNMENT IMPACT:

None.

# NOTE:

Although not specifically specified in the proposed Bill, for the purposes of this fiscal impact, LLR assumes that the cost of the SLED background check would be paid by the licensee.

Approved by:

Don Addy

Assistant Director, Office of State Budget